



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,246	12/29/2000	Troy A. Ussery	OFFW01-00009	8636
7590	04/21/2005		EXAMINER	
DOCKET CLERK P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380			HO, THOMAS M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2134		

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/751,246	USSERY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas M. Ho	2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2004.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. *The amendment of 11/18/04 has been received and entered.*
2. *Claims 1-32 are pending.*

***Response to Arguments***

3. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's arguments, and has consulted other Examiners to also give careful consideration to the merits of Applicant's objections to the Examiner's rejection.

Upon such analysis, it is the Examiner's position that, contrary to Applicant's arguments, both Huang and Dwyer disclose the features of claim 1.

Applicant claims in claim 1:

For use in managing a database of selectable records, a database administrator for association with a computer system having distributed memory units, said database administrator comprising:

A security controller that operates repeatedly to (I) divide said database into portions and (ii) store ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units, said security controller thereby systematically redistributing said database over said distributed memory units  
An access controller that operates to repeatedly establish views of ones of said selectable records responsive to said security controller redistributing said database over said distributed memory units.

Applicant has argued, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, page 16

“There is nothing in the Huang reference that anticipates the security controller 106 of the Applicant’s invention.”

The Examiner contends that Applicant has characterized the security controller as a device that “operates repeatedly to (I) divide said database into portions and (ii) store ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units, said security controller thereby systematically redistributing said database over said distributed memory units”

The Examiner has recited these limitations, and therefore contends that interface modules and servers which perform this may also be reasonably called a “security controller”

Applicant has further argued, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, page 16:

“Access controller 104 manages login and grants access to security controller 106. Login to access controller 103 may cause information to be retrieved from a profile table to create code for linking appropriate data records for the user to view or modify...”

“The Huang reference does not disclose, suggest or even hint at this feature of the Applicant’s invention.”

The Examiner contends that he cannot read the details of Applicant's specifications into the claimed limitations, lest the claims be completely devoid of their value. The Examiner must take the broadest reasonable interpretation of Applicant's recited claims. MPEP 2111

Figure 1, very clearly discloses a client, being able to both accept and send data (the arrow between 104-106) through an interface module to accept and send data from the database servers (108A, 108B, 108C). Such a device is considered by the Examiner to be an access controller because it allows access to the servers.

The Examiner shall clarify the rejection using Huang(Column 3, lines 5-35), not as a new grounds of rejection, but rather information from the reference disclosing how Figure 1 works.

Huang(Figure 1) discloses:

For use in managing a database of selectable records, a database administrator for association with a computer system having distributed memory units, said database administrator comprising:

- A security controller that operates repeatedly to (I) divide said database into portions and (ii) store ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units, said security controller thereby systematically redistributing said database over said distributed

memory units, where (i) the database is divided into portions at servers (figure 1 108A, 108B, 108C), and (ii) where the stored ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units are the memory where the database is stored in the server, and the database is distributed over said distributed memory units. (Figure 1, Items 110A – 110F “database instance”)

- An access controller that operates to repeatedly establish views of ones of said selectable records responsive to said security controller redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the access controller is the interface module, and the views are established by the controller to present the illusion that clients are interacting with a single backend database system (Column 3, lines 30-35)

Applicant’s arguments against the art of Dwyer appear to be consist only of allegations of what Dwyer does not disclose. Allegations regarding the characterization of the security controller are responded to in the previous arguments with Huang et al. Applicant’s arguments have been fully considered but are unpersuasive.

Dwyer(Figure 1) discloses:

For use in managing a database of selectable records, a database administrator for association with a computer system having distributed memory units, said database administrator comprising:

- A security controller that operates repeatedly to (I) divide said database into portions and (ii) store ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units, said security

controller thereby systematically redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the database is divided into local views and distributed memory units, and where the security controller is the external schema and conceptual schema that processes these divisions. (Figure 1)

- An access controller that operates to repeatedly establish views of ones of said selectable records responsive to said security controller redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the access controller is the internal schema, which houses the database directory and the local representations schema which establishes the views of the selectable records in each distributed memory unit. (Figure 1)

Applicant's further arguments in reference to the rejection under 35 USC 103 are grounded upon the same rejections regarding the security controller and access controller and have been addressed above.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al, US patent 5764949, henceforward referred to as Huang.

Claim 1, 6, 11, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dwyer.

In reference to claim 1:

Dwyer(Figure 1) discloses an apparatus for use in managing a database of selectable records, a database administrator for association with a computer system having distributed memory units, said administrator comprising:

- A security controller that operates repeatedly to (i) divide said database into portions and (ii) store ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units, said security controller thereby systematically redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the database is divided into local views and distributed memory units, and where the security controller is the external schema and conceptual schema that processes these divisions. (Figure 1)
- An access controller that operates to repeatedly establish views of ones of said selectable records responsive to said security controller redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the access controller is the internal schema, which houses the database directory and the local representations schema which establishes the views of the selectable records in each distributed memory unit. (Figure 1)

In reference to claim 1:

Huang (Figure 1) discloses an apparatus for use in managing a database of selectable records, a database administrator for association with a computer system having distributed memory units, said administrator comprising:

- A security controller that operates repeatedly to (i) divide said database into portions and (ii) store ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units, said security controller thereby systematically redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the database is divided into and distributed memory units, and where the security controller is the interface module. (Figure 1)
- An access controller that operates to repeatedly establish views of ones of said selectable records responsive to said security controller redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the access controller is the interface module, where the views of the selectable records are particular instantiations that exist per server. (Figure 1)

In reference to claim 2:

Huang (Figure 1) discloses the database administrator set forth in claim 1 wherein said access controller is further operable to access ones of said selectable records, where the interface module is operable to access the selectable records.

In reference to claim 3:

Huang (Figure 1) and (Column 3, lines 5-67) discloses the database administrator set forth in claim 1 initially operable to instantiate said database of selectable records through the clients.

In reference to claim 4:

Huang (Figure 1) discloses the database administrator set forth in claim 3 wherein said initially instantiated database of selectable records is stored in a select memory unit, where each select memory unit is the memory unit on the server.

In reference to claim 5:

Huang (Figure 2) & (Column 4, lines 40-65) discloses the database administrator set forth in claim 1 wherein said security controller is further operable, prior to subsequently dividing said database into portions, to combine said ones of said portions previously stored in ones of said distributed memory units in a select memory unit, where the portions of the distributed memory units may be executed and stored in a common memory area, or the storage unit (Figure 2, Item 226) of the Interface computer.

Claims 6, 11, 15 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claims 7, 12, 16 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 2.

Claim 8 is rejected for the same reason as claim 3.

Claims 9, 13, 17 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 4.

Claims 10, 14, 18 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 5.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al and Diaz et al., US patent 5689648.

In reference to claim 19:

Huang discloses an apparatus for use over a global communications network having nodes and constituency nodes associated therewith comprising:

- a database of selectable data files associated with said company nodes, wherein said company nodes populate respective associated data files, where the nodes are the servers. (Figure 1)
- a communications controller that is operable to i) propagate communication interfaces accessible by said constituency nodes with selected portions of said information under direction of said nodes, where the communication interfaces are accessible by the nodes through the execution of supported SQL queries and ii) gather feedback

information representative of constituency response to said constituency nodes accessing said communication interfaces, where the communications controller is the interface module which gathers SQL queries to be sent and executed. (Column 5, lines 15-35)

- a database administrator for association with distributed memory units, where the database administrator is the Interface module application. (Figure 2, Item 106), said database administrator comprising:
  - a security controller that operates repeatedly to i) divide said database into portions and ii) store ones of said portions to ones of said distributed memory units, said security controller thereby systematically redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the security controller is the interface module and the database is divided and stored into portions of distributed memory units (Figure 1)
  - an access controller that operates to repeatedly establish views of ones of said selectable records responsive to said security controller redistributing said database over said distributed memory units, where the access controller is the interface module, where the views of the selectable records are particular instantiations that exist per server. (Figure 1)

Huang however, fails to disclose information that is commercial and likewise, a communication system that acts in the context of an E-Commerce system.

Diaz et al. (Column 8, line 50 – Column 9, line 2) discloses a method and apparatus for publication of information for CATV through a computer network through the use of databases to store its data that it will send out to clients. Diaz et al. (Column 13, lines 13-25) also discloses an e-commerce system that stores company data in a database and in particular provides the use of databases in a corporate context.

Huang teaches a method that gives the advantage to clients to deal with a heterogeneous database system to be under the illusion of dealing with a single back-end database system by using a single interface module.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Huang's method of interfacing with heterogeneous databases, into the database interface system for clients used in Diaz et al. in order to allow the clients to acquire data from the database without having to worry about the particular DBMS used in each server system, effectively providing the clients, a common software and SQL language interface from which data from a plurality of different databases with different DBMS can be accessed.

In reference to claim 20:

Art Unit: 2134

Huang discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 wherein said communications controller is further operable to process said gathered feedback information and, in response thereto, modify one of said data lines, where the gathered feedback information and modification of said data lines is the interface module accepting and processing SQL queries. (Column 5, lines 15-35)

In reference to claim 21:

Huang discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 wherein said communications controller is further operable to analyze said gathered feedback information and ones of said data files and, in response thereto, to report results thereof to said company node, where the gathered feedback is the response to the SQL query from which a report is generated, often in the form of selected tables. (Column 7, lines 45-55)

In reference to claim 22:

Huang discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 wherein said communications controller, while gathering said feedback information, employs mathematical representations to represent at least one of constituency understanding and constituency reaction.

A mathematical representation of constituency understanding and reaction in a database is inherent to any database that is capable of processing SQL queries. Relational databases today are organized by the database schema, which is the conceptual design of the database in

mathematical form. Furthermore, these SQL statements themselves are mathematical operations including, JOIN, INTERSECTION, NOT, operations well known in mathematics, and widely supported by SQL languages.

In reference to claim 23:

Diaz et al. (Column 5, lines 15-50) discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 further comprising a security controller that is operable, with respect to those data files associated with said company node, to limit access to said those data files to designated personnel of said company nodes, where the company nodes are the client systems from which they can view the news, and where the access is limited subscribers and the services that clients have subscribed to.

In reference to claim 24:

Neither Diaz et al. nor Huang discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 23 wherein said security controller includes an interactive voice recognition controller that is operable to verify the identity of said designated personnel.

Diaz et al. (Column 5, lines 25-30) however discloses that it is necessary to verifying identifying information of a client or subscriber.

Art Unit: 2134

The Examiner takes official notice that an interactive voice recognition system as an identification mechanism was well known in the art at the time of invention such as that disclosed by US patent 6173042, and 4534056.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine a voice recognition system in order to verify a customer because it provides the advantage of allowing a customer verify him or herself through only speaking, and without having to enter authentication data into a computer.

In reference to claim 25:

Diaz et al. (Column 4, lines 55-65) discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 wherein said communications controller is further operable to translate said selected portions of said commercial information from a first language into a second language, where the commercial information, the newspaper has several versions translated into several different languages as in common in news media distribution.

In reference to claim 26:

Diaz et al. (Figure 1) discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 wherein said communications controller is further operable to store, index and relate associated portions of said commercial information in the data repository, where the commercial data is the news data which is stored, indexed and, related (Figure 6b) as to be viewable to user in a convenient manner.

In reference to claim 27:

Huang (Figure 1) discloses the electronic commerce system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 wherein said access controller is further operable to access ones of said selectable data files, where the access controller is the interface module which can access the data files of the database.

Diaz et al. (Figure 1) discloses this as well, where the selectable data files may be accessed and displayed at user displays.

In reference to claim 28:

Huang (Figure 1) and (Column 3, lines 5-67) discloses the electronic commerce system for use over a Global communications network recited in Claim 19 wherein said database administrator is initially operable to instantiate said database of selectable database files, where the databases are instantiated through their own database management systems, or have already been instantiated by the distributed servers themselves.

In reference to claim 29:

Huang (Figure 1) and (Column 3, lines 5-67) discloses the electronic commerce system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 28 wherein said initially instantiated database of selectable data files is stored in a select memory unit, where the select memory units are the memory units of the servers.

In reference to claim 30:

Huang (Figure 2) & (Column 4, lines 40-65) discloses the system for use over a global communications network recited in Claim 19 wherein said security controller is further operable, prior to subsequently dividing said database into portions, to combine said ones of said portions previously stored in ones of said distributed memory units in a select memory unit, where the portions of the distributed memory units may be executed and stored in a common memory area, or the storage unit (Figure 2, Item 226) of the Interface computer.

In reference to claim 31:

Diaz et al. Figures (10B- 10J) discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 19 wherein said communications controller is further operable to organize said selected portions of said commercial information that propagate said communication interfaces into channels accessible by said constituency nodes, where the channels are organized across a variety of different topics.

In reference to claim 32:

Diaz et al. Figures (10B- 10J) discloses the electronic system for use over a global communications network recited in claim 31 wherein said channels include at least two of an overview channel, an outlook channel, a community consensus channel, a community forecast channel, a research channel, an online q&a channel, an online conference channel, a financial history channel and a newsroom channel.

***Conclusion***

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of the final action and the advisory action is not mailed under after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(A) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M Ho whose telephone number is (571)272-3835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838.

The Examiner may also be reached through email through [Thomas.Ho6@uspto.gov](mailto:Thomas.Ho6@uspto.gov)

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

General Information/Receptionist Telephone: 571-272-2100 Fax: 703-872-9306

Customer Service Representative Telephone: 571-272-2100 Fax: 703-872-9306

TMH

April 17<sup>th</sup>, 2005



GREGORY MORSE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100